UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,893	12/16/2005	Luca Boicro	09952.0014	2361	
22852 7590 10/03/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, NGA X		
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3662		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/560,893	BOIERO ET AL.		
Office Action Sum	mary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		NGA X. NGUYEN	3662		
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	s communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended p</li> </ul>	OM THE MAILING DA the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period w eriod for reply will, by statute, hree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
, ,	2b)⊠ This condition for allowar	 action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro ax parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-80</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) _ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) □ Claim(s) is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-80</u> are subject	is/are withdraw wed. cted. cted to.	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers					
·	December 2005 is/ar at any objection to the o s) including the correcti	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected arawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P	•	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6)			

Art Unit: 3662

## Supplemental Action

1. Attorney Michele Daniel called to say that Election/Restriction was sent out on 09/06/2007 using original claims, which have been amended canceling, and adding new claims 41-80. Below is a new election/restriction request. The period for response is reset to begin with the mailing of this action.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show descriptive labels for the boxes in Fig. 1-5. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

Art Unit: 3662

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Election/Restrictions

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 41-62 & 79, drawn to "A Method And System Of Determining The Location Of A Mobile Terminal, classified in class 342, subclass 357.01
  - II. Claim 67-78 & 80, drawn to A Terminal Device, classified in class 342, and subclass 357.12.

Inventions, I-II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case subcombination I, and II each has separate utility such as a system of determining a mobile terminal and a terminal device. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

(a) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;

Art Unit: 3662

(b) The inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

- (c) The inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) The prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) The inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3662

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NGA X. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-5217. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TARCZA H. THOMAS can be reached on (571) 272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NGA X NGUYEN Examiner Art Unit 3662

NXN

THOMAS H. TARCZA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**